

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,254	06/22/2001	Mikhail Markovich Gusyatiner	209870US0	5538
22850	7590 07/02/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			LILLING, HERBERT J	
	IIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/886,254	GUSYATINER ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		HERBERT J LILLING	1651
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a		
A	od for Reply SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	Ν.	•
-	Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	γ (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Statu	s		
1	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	June 2004.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL .	his action is non-final.	
3	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	<u>.</u>	-
Dispo	osition of Claims		
4	⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9, 12-17 and 20-22</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.	
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9,12,17 and 20</u> is/s	=	ation.
5	⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,8,13-16,21 and 22</u> is/are allowed		
6	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7	⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 13</u> is/are objected to.		
8	☑ Claim(s) <u>9,12,17 and 20</u> are subject to restri	ction and/or election requiren	nent.
Appli	cation Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11	☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priori	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	oplication No
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage
	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.
Attach	ment(s)		
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
- ==	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Application/Control Number: 09/886,254

Art Unit: 1651

Receipt is acknowledged of the response filed June 1, 2004

In accordance with the rejoinder guidelines as in.: Ochiai/Brouwer Rejoinder form paragraph

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Therefore, the elected invention as well as the additional strain of claim 14 has been allowed which has been considered.

Allowable subject matter includes claims 7, 8, 13, 14, 15, 16, 21 and 22. Claims 7 and 13 are duplicates. Applicant is required to delete one of the claims or to limit claim 13 to the strain 382 or to the mutants thereof. Variations of the claim will be allowed.

Claim 9 does not meet the guidelines of the rejoinder policy.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,254

Art Unit: 1651

The amended claims which have been allowed have been limited to be as NTG mutants in accordance with the statements of the response of June 1, 2004 on page 8 whereby the mutants are specifically identified in the specification on page 8, lies 14-19 and page 9, lines 11-16. No anticipation art has been found for the claimed deposits. The references do not suggest or motivate one of ordinary skilled in the art to prepare the specific mutant deposits having the specified properties.

This application is in condition for allowance except for the presence of claims 9, 12, 17 and 20 to an invention non-elected with traverse in the reply filed on 12-21-2001 and the duplication of claims 7 and 13. The prosecution of this case is closed except for consideration of the above matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is 571-272-0918 and Fax Number is (703) 872-9306 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is 571-272-0926. Examiner can be reached Monday-Thursday from about 5:30 A.M. to about 3:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

H.J.Lilling: HJL (703) 308-2034 Art Unit 1651 June 30, 2004

Dr. Herbert J. Lilling Primary Examiner

Julet J- Sither

Group 1600 Art Unit 1651